INTRODUCTION

As an important transport process, heat conduction is governed by the first and the second laws of thermodynamics [1-3]. With the classical Fourier’s law of heat conduction as the constitutive relation of heat flux density vector and the temperature gradient [1, 4], the first law of thermodynamics yields the classical heat-conduction equation whose solution provides the temperature field [1, 3]. The application of the Fourier’s law of heat conduction will then lead to heat transfer rate and the way to control it [1, 3].

Applied to heat conduction, the second law of thermodynamics states that the entropy generation $S_{\text{gen}}$ of a system during heat conduction always increases, or, in the limiting case of a reversible process, remains constant, i.e., $\frac{dS_{\text{gen}}}{dt} \geq 0$ with $t$ being the time [5, 6]. This requires that $S_{\text{gen}}(t_2) \geq S_{\text{gen}}(t_1)$ for all $t_2 \geq t_1$. With knowing temperature field from the heat-conduction equation, the entropy generation $S_{\text{gen}}$ becomes available [5, 6]. Applying $dS_{\text{gen}}/dt \geq 0$ and $S_{\text{gen}}(t_2) \geq S_{\text{gen}}(t_1)$ can then yield mathematical inequalities and thus solution features of heat-conduction equations [2].

Energy is conserved by the first law of thermodynamics [1, 5, 6]. The very essence of entropy is the part of system energy that cannot be transformed into useful work [7]. Any entropy generation will then degrade the quality of energy. It becomes thus significant and relevant to examine $dS_{\text{gen}}/dt$ regarding the way to reduce its magnitude and $\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} S_{\text{gen}}$ regarding whether it is bounded or not.

The present work aims to develop above-mentioned mathematical inequalities and examine $dS_{\text{gen}}/dt$ and $\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} S_{\text{gen}}$ with heat conduction in three-dimensional sphere. Note that such an analysis is very limited in the literature and differs fundamentally from other studies of the second law analysis that mainly aim for improving performance of practical processes [8-18]. In Section 2, we make analytical derivation of temperature field, entropy generation and its limit, and mathematical inequalities. Our derivation is made for the heat conduction driven by the initial temperature distribution, by the internal source and by the both, respectively, with the more details being given for the first case. In section 3, we summarize the inequalities developed in Section 2 and the physical implication of the entropy generation and its limit obtained in Section 2. We draw some concluding remarks in Section 4.

II. TEMPERATURE FIELD, ENTROPY GENERATION AND MATHEMATICAL INEQUALITIES

Consider one dimensional heat conduction along the radial direction in a sphere of radius $a$ with constant material properties and specified temperature gradient at the boundary, the second kind or Neumann boundary condition [1].

As the contribution of nonhomogeneous boundary condition to the temperature field can be represented by source and initial terms [2], we can focus our attention to the following initial-boundary value problem with homogeneous boundary conditions in spherical coordinates, shown in Fig. 1, without loss of the generality:

\[
\begin{align*}
T_t &= a_0^3 \Delta T + f(r,t), \ (0,a) \times (0, +\infty) \\
T_r |_{r=a} &= 0 \\
T |_{t=0} &= \varphi(r) 
\end{align*}
\]

where $t$ and $T$ are time and temperature, respectively. $a_0^3$ is the thermal diffusivity. $\varphi(r)$ is the initial temperature distribution over the sphere. $f(r,t)$ is the rate of heat generation inside the sphere per unit volume and per unit specific capacity of the material. The heat generation may be due to nuclear, electrical, chemical, gammy-ray, or other sources that may be a function of time and/or position.

Entropy Analysis in Heat Conduction
2.1. Heat conduction initiated by the initial temperature distribution

For the heat conduction driven by the initial temperature distribution, \( f(r,t) = 0 \), and Eq. (1) reduces into

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
T_t = a_0^2 \Delta T, \\
T_r \big|_{r=a_0} = 0 \\
T \big|_{t=0} = \phi(r)
\end{array} \right. \\
(2)
\end{align*}
\]

To obtain the solution of (2), consider the solution

\[
T_\phi(r,t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l e^{-\omega_l^2 t} j_0(k_l r)
\]

where

\[
A_l = \frac{1}{M_l} \int_0^a \varphi(r) j_0(k_l r) r^2 dr
\]

and

\[
k_l = \mu_l^{1/2} / a, \omega_l = k_l a_0, j_0(k_l r)
\]

is spherical Bessel equation of order 0 and \( M_l \) is the normal square of \( j_0(k_l r) \).

\[
M_l = \frac{\pi a^3}{4 \mu_l} J_1^2(\mu_l), \quad l = 1,2,3,...
\]

\[
M_0 = \frac{a^3}{3}, \quad l = 0
\]

Entropy analysis and mathematical inequalities

The total entropy in the sphere is [5, 6],

\[
S_\phi(t) = 4\pi \rho C_V \int_0^a \left[ \ln T_\phi(r,t) \right] r^2 dr = 4\pi \rho C_V \int_0^a \left[ \ln \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l e^{-\omega_l^2 t} j_0(k_l r) \right] r^2 dr
\]

Note that \( S_\phi(t) \) is also the total entropy generation for the case of adiabatic boundary conditions with vanished thermal entropy flux [5, 6]. Applying Eq. (3), we obtain

\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} S_\phi(t) = 4\pi \rho C_V \int_0^a \left[ \ln \lim_{t \to +\infty} T_\phi(r,t) \right] r^2 dr = 4\pi \rho C_V \int_0^a \ln A_0 r^2 dr
\]

When \( l = 0 \),

\[
M_l = \frac{a^3}{3}, \quad j_0(0) = 1
\]

Therefore,

\[
A_0 = \frac{1}{a^3} \int_0^a \varphi(r) j_0(0) r^2 dr = \frac{3}{a^2} \int_0^a \varphi(r) r^2 dr.
\]
which is the volume average of the initial temperature distribution \( \varphi(r) \) over the sphere, and
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} S_{\varphi}(t) = 4 \pi \nu C_v \int_0^a \left[ \frac{3}{a^3} \int_0^a \varphi(r) r^2 \, dr \right] r^2 \, dr = 4 \pi \frac{a^3}{3} \nu C_v \ln \frac{3}{a^3} \int_0^a \varphi(r) r^2 \, dr.
\]
which is bounded and equal to system total entropy at a uniform average temperature of the initial temperature distribution over the whole sphere.

Note that,
\[
\frac{dS_{\varphi}(t)}{dt} = 4 \pi \nu C_v \int_0^a 1 \frac{\partial T_{\varphi}(r, t)}{\partial t} r^2 \, dr = 4 \pi \nu C_v \int_0^a \sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l (-\omega_l^2) e^{-\omega_l^2 t} j_0(k_l r) r^2 \, dr.
\]
Applying the principle of entropy increase \( \frac{dS_{\varphi}(t)}{dt} \geq 0 \) leads to,
\[
\int_0^a \sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l (-\omega_l^2) e^{-\omega_l^2 t} j_0(k_l r) r^2 \, dr \geq 0
\]
As \( \frac{dS_{\varphi}(t)}{dt} \geq 0 \), we also have
\[
S_{\varphi}(t_1) \leq S_{\varphi}(t_2) \quad \forall \ 0 < t_1 \leq t_2 < +\infty
\]
This yields the
\[
\int_0^a \left[ \ln \left( \sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega_l^2 t} j_0(k_l r) \right) \right] r^2 \, dr \leq \int_0^a \left[ \ln \left( \sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega_l^2 t} j_0(k_l r) \right) \right] r^2 \, dr
\]
Note that
\[
S_{\varphi}(0) = 4 \pi \nu C_v \int_0^a \left[ \ln T_{\varphi}(r, 0) \right] r^2 \, dr = 4 \pi \nu C_v \int_0^a \left[ \ln \varphi(r) \right] r^2 \, dr,
\]
\[
S_{\varphi}(+\infty) = 4 \pi \frac{a^3}{3} \nu C_v \ln \frac{3}{a^3} \int_0^a \varphi(r) r^2 \, dr
\]
Applying \( S_{\varphi}(0) \leq S_{\varphi}(+\infty) \) leads to
\[
4 \pi \nu C_v \int_0^a \left[ \ln \varphi(r) \right] r^2 \, dr \leq 4 \pi \frac{a^3}{3} \nu C_v \ln \frac{3}{a^3} \int_0^a \varphi(r) r^2 \, dr.
\]
that is
\[
\frac{3}{a^3} \int_0^a \left[ \ln \varphi(r) \right] r^2 \, dr \leq \ln \frac{3}{a^3} \int_0^a \varphi(r) r^2 \, dr.
\]
Eq.(16) is the one-dimensional extension over the sphere of well-known Arithmetic-mean---geometric-mean inequality [19, 20] and can be proven mathematically as following as well.

2.2. Heat conduction driven by the internal source
For the heat conduction driven exclusively by the internal source, \( \varphi(r) = 0 \) and Eq. (1) reduces
\[
T_t = a_0^2 \Delta T + f(r, t),
\]
\[
T_{\mid t=0} = 0
\]
By the property of \( \delta \) function and superposition principle, Eq. (15) thus has the solution of
\[
T_t(r, t) = \int_0^t \sum_{l=0}^\infty B_l e^{-\omega_l^2 (t-\tau)} j_0(k_l r) \, d\tau
\]
\[
B_{\text{hl}} = \frac{1}{M_l} \int_0^a f(r, \tau) j_0(k_l r) r^2 \, dr
\]
where \( T_t \) stands for the temperature for heat conduction driven exclusively by the internal heat source, \( k_1 = \frac{1}{M_l a_0}, \omega_l = k_1 a_0 \).

Entropy analysis and mathematical inequalities
The total entropy in the sphere at time instant \( t \) is, which is also the entropy generation up to time instant \( t \),
\[
S_t(t) = 4 \pi \nu C_v \int_0^a \left[ \ln T_t(r, t) \right] r^2 \, dr = S_0(t) = 4 \pi \nu C_v \int_0^a \left[ \ln \left( \sum_{l=0}^\infty B_l e^{-\omega_l^2 (t-\tau)} j_0(k_l r) \right) \right] r^2 \, dr
\]
By applying Eq.(19)
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} S_t(t) = 4 \pi \nu C_v \int_0^a \left[ \ln \lim_{t \to +\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^\infty B_l e^{-\omega_l^2 (t-\tau)} j_0(k_l r) \right) \right] r^2 \, dr
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^3 \lim_{t \to +\infty} \left( \int_0^t \frac{3}{a^3} f(r, \tau) r^2 d\tau d\tau \right) r^2 dr &= 200
\end{align*}
\]

Also,
\[
\frac{dS_f(t)}{dt} = 4\pi \rho C_v \int_0^3 \frac{1}{T(t, \tau)} \frac{\partial T(t, \tau)}{\partial t} r^2 dr = 4\pi \rho C_v \int_0^3 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i \left( -\omega_i^2 \right) e^{-\omega_i^2 (t-\tau)} j_0(k_i r) d\tau + f(r, \tau) r^2 dr
\]

By the second law of thermodynamics, \( dS_f(t)/dt \geq 0 \). We have thus, by noting that \( \rho C_v > 0 \),
\[
\int_0^3 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i \left( -\omega_i^2 \right) e^{-\omega_i^2 (t-\tau)} j_0(k_i r) d\tau + f(r, \tau) r^2 dr \geq 0
\]

As \( dS_f(t)/dt \geq 0 \), we also have
\[
S_f(t_1) \leq S_f(t_2) \quad \forall \ 0 < t_1 \leq t_2 < +\infty
\]

We thus obtain the following inequality:
\[
\int_0^3 \left[ \ln \left( \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i e^{-\omega_i^2 (t_1-\tau)} j_0(k_i r) d\tau \right) \right] r^2 dr \leq \int_0^3 \left[ \ln \left( \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i e^{-\omega_i^2 (t_2-\tau)} j_0(k_i r) d\tau \right) \right] r^2 dr
\]

Also,
\[
S_f(0) = 4\pi \rho C_v \int_0^3 \left[ \ln \lim_{t \to +\infty} \left( \int_0^t \frac{3}{a^3} f(r, \tau) r^2 d\tau d\tau \right) \right] r^2 dr = -\infty
\]
\[
S_f(+\infty) = 4\pi \rho C_v \int_0^3 \left[ \ln \lim_{t \to +\infty} \left( \int_0^t \frac{3}{a^3} \int_0^t f(r, \tau) r^2 d\tau d\tau \right) \right] r^2 dr
\]

As \( S_f(0) \leq S_f(+\infty) \), we arrive at:
\[
4\pi \rho C_v \int_0^3 \left[ \ln \lim_{t \to +\infty} \left( \int_0^t \frac{3}{a^3} \int_0^t f(r, \tau) r^2 d\tau d\tau \right) \right] r^2 dr \geq -\infty,
\]

Let’s now examine \( S_f(+\infty) \) in details. For sufficiently large \( t \),
\[
S_f(t) = 4\pi \rho C_v \int_0^3 \left[ \ln \left( \int_0^t \frac{3}{a^3} \int_0^t f(r, \tau) r^2 d\tau d\tau \right) \right] r^2 dr = \frac{4\pi a^3}{3} - \rho C_v \ln \left( \int_0^3 \frac{3}{a^3} \int_0^t f(r, \tau) r^2 d\tau d\tau \right)
\]

Consider now the source with a positive volume average over the sphere so that for any time instant \( \tau \), there is a positive value \( \varepsilon \) such that
\[
\int_0^3 f(r, \tau) r^2 d\tau \geq \varepsilon \geq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \int_0^3 f(r, \tau) r^2 d\tau \geq \varepsilon > 0 \geq \varepsilon t
\]

Thus we have
\[
S_f(t) \geq \frac{4\pi a^3}{3} - \rho C_v \ln(\varepsilon t)
\]

As \( \varepsilon > 0 \), \( \varepsilon t \) can be sufficiently large for sufficiently large \( t \). Note also that \( \ln x \) increases always with \( x \). We conclude, with Eq. (30),
\[
\forall \ M > 0, \exists \ t_0, \ \text{when} \ t_0 < t, \ M < S_f(t)
\]
that is
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} S_f(t) = S_f(+\infty) = +\infty
\]

2.3. Heat conduction driven by the initial temperature distribution and internal source
The temperature field subjected to the effect of both initial temperature distribution and the source term is, by the superposition principle,
\[
T_{a\theta}(r, t) = T_{\Phi}(r, t) + T_j(r, t)
\]

With Eqs. (3) and (18), we have
\[
T_{a\theta}(r, \theta, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i e^{-\omega_i^2 t} j_0(k_i r) + \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i e^{-\omega_i^2 (t-\tau)} j_0(k_i r) d\tau
\]

where \( k_i = \frac{1}{a}, \omega_i = k_i a \).

Entropy analysis and mathematical inequalities
The total entropy in the sphere at time instant \( t \) is, which is also the entropy generation up to time instant \( t \),
By using the results in 2.1 and 2.2, we have, for sufficiently large \( t \),
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} S_{qf}(t) = 4\pi p C_V \int_{0}^{a} \left[ \ln \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l e^{-\omega_l^2 t} j_0(k_l r) + \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_l e^{-\omega_l^2 (t-\tau)} j_0(k_l r) \, d\tau \right) \right] r^2 \, dr.
\]  
(35)

Also,
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} S_{qf}(t) = 4\pi p C_V \int_{0}^{a} \left[ \ln \lim_{t \to +\infty} T_{qf}(r, t) \right] r^2 \, dr = 4\pi p C_V \int_{0}^{a} \left[ \ln \left( A_0 + \lim_{t \to +\infty} \int_{0}^{t} B_0 \, d\tau \right) \right] r^2 \, dr
\]
where
\[
A_0 = \frac{3}{a^3} \int_{0}^{a} [\varphi(r, \theta)] r^2 \, dr, \quad B_0 = \frac{3}{a^3} \int_{0}^{a} [f(r, \tau)] r^2 \, dr
\]
(36)

Note that
\[
\frac{dS_{qf}(t)}{dt} = 4\pi p C_V \int_{0}^{a} \left[ \frac{1}{T_{qf}(r, t)} \left( \frac{\partial T_{qf}(r, t)}{\partial t} \right) \right] r^2 \, dr
\]
\[
= 4\pi p C_V \int_{0}^{a} \left[ \frac{\sum C_l (-\omega_l^2) e^{-\omega_l^2 t} + \int_{0}^{t} \sum D_l (-\omega_l^2) e^{-\omega_l^2 (t-\tau)} \, d\tau + f(r, t)}{\sum C_l e^{-\omega_l^2 t} + \int_{0}^{t} \sum D_l e^{-\omega_l^2 (t-\tau)} \, d\tau} \right] r^2 \, dr
\]
where
\[
\sum C_l = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l j_0(k_l r); \quad \sum D_l = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_l j_0(k_l r)
\]
(37)

By the second law of thermodynamics that requires \( dS_{qf}(t)/dt \geq 0 \), we have
\[
\int_{0}^{a} \left[ \frac{\sum C_l (-\omega_l^2) e^{-\omega_l^2 t} + \int_{0}^{t} \sum D_l (-\omega_l^2) e^{-\omega_l^2 (t-\tau)} \, d\tau + f(r, t)}{\sum C_l e^{-\omega_l^2 t} + \int_{0}^{t} \sum D_l e^{-\omega_l^2 (t-\tau)} \, d\tau} \right] r^2 \, dr \geq 0
\]
(38)

By applying
\[
S_{qf}(t_1) \leq S_{qf}(t_2), \quad \forall \ 0 < t_1 \leq t_2 < +\infty
\]
we obtain
\[
\int_{0}^{a} \left[ \ln \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l e^{-\omega_l^2 t} j_0(k_l r) + \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_l e^{-\omega_l^2 (t-\tau)} j_0(k_l r) \, d\tau \right) \right] r^2 \, dr
\]
\[
\leq \int_{0}^{a} \left[ \ln \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l e^{-\omega_l^2 t_1} j_0(k_l r) + \int_{0}^{t_1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_l e^{-\omega_l^2 (t_1-\tau)} j_0(k_l r) \, d\tau \right) \right] r^2 \, dr
\]
(39)

When \( t_1 = 0, t_2 = +\infty, \)
\[
S_{qf}(0) = 4\pi p C_V \int_{0}^{a} [\ln T_{qf}(r, 0)] r^2 \, dr = 4\pi p C_V \int_{0}^{a} [\ln \varphi(r)] r^2 \, dr
\]
(40)

By applying \( S_{qf}(0) \leq S_{qf}(+\infty) \), we thus have
\[
\int_{0}^{a} [\ln \varphi(r)] r^2 \, dr \leq \int_{0}^{a} \left[ \ln \left( A_0 + \lim_{t \to +\infty} \int_{0}^{t} B_0 \, d\tau \right) \right] r^2 \, dr
\]
(41)

Consider now the source with a positive volume average over the sphere so that for any time instant \( \tau \), there exists a positive value \( \varepsilon \) such that
\[
3 \pi \int_{0}^{a} [f(r, \tau)] r^2 \, dr \geq \varepsilon > 0
\]
(42)

By using the results in 2.1 and 2.2, we have, for sufficiently large \( t \),
\[
S_{qf}(t) = 4\pi p C_V \int_{0}^{a} \left[ \ln \left( A_0 + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{3}{a^3} \int_{0}^{a} [f(r, \tau)] r^2 \, d\tau \right) \right] r^2 \, dr \geq 4\pi p C_V \int_{0}^{a} [\ln (A_0 + \varepsilon t)] r^2 \, dr
\]
(43)

As \( \varepsilon > 0, \) \( ct \) can be sufficiently large for sufficiently large \( t \). Note also that \( \ln x \) increases always with \( x \). Eq. (47) thus leads to
\[
\forall \ M > 0, \ \exists \ t_0, \ \text{when} \ t_0 < t, \ M < S_{qf}(t)
\]
(44)

that is
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} S_{qf}(t) = S_t (+\infty) = +\infty
\]
(45)
III. DISCUSSION

Table 1 summarizes the mathematical inequalities obtained from the second law of thermodynamics with the heat conduction in a sphere driven by the initial temperature distribution, the internal source and the both, respectively. They are developed by applying the positive semi-definiteness of temporal derivative of entropy generation, the larger entropy generation at time instant $t_2$ than at $t_1$ for all $t_2 \geq t_1$, and the large entropy generation at time infinity than at initial time instant of heat conduction as the statements of the second law of thermodynamics convenient to apply. We have also proven one of these inequalities [Eq. (16)] mathematically. They form a group of tools that could be useful for examining heat conduction equations and their solutions and serve as the benchmarks of confirming solutions from analytical, numerical and experimental approaches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat conduction</th>
<th>2nd law of thermodynamics</th>
<th>Inequalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By initial temperature distribution</td>
<td>$\frac{dS_p(t)}{dt} \geq 0$</td>
<td>$\int_0^a \left[ \sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l(-\omega l^2) e^{-\omega l^2 t} J_0(k_l r) \right] r^2 dr \geq 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_p(t_1) \leq S_p(t_2)$</td>
<td>$\int_0^a \left[ \sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega l^2 t_1} J_0(k_l r) \right] r^2 dr \leq \int_0^a \left[ \sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega l^2 t_2} J_0(k_l r) \right] r^2 dr$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_p(0) \leq S_p(\infty)$</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{a^2} \int_0^a \ln [\eta(r)] r^2 dr \leq \int_0^a \frac{a}{a^2} \eta(r)^2 dr$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By internal source</td>
<td>$\frac{dS_f(t)}{dt} \geq 0$</td>
<td>$\int_0^a \left[ \sum_{l=0}^\infty B_l(-\omega l^2) e^{-\omega l^2 (t-r)} J_0(k_l r) + f(r, t) \right] \frac{k_l^2}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty B_l e^{-\omega l^2 (t-r)} J_0(k_l r) r^2} dr \geq 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_f(t_1) \leq S_f(t_2)$</td>
<td>$\int_0^a \ln \left( \frac{\sum_{l=0}^\infty B_l e^{-\omega l^2 (t_2-r)} J_0(k_l r) dt}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty B_l e^{-\omega l^2 (t_1-r)} J_0(k_l r) dt} \right) r^2 dr \leq \int_0^a \ln \left( \frac{\sum_{l=0}^\infty B_l e^{-\omega l^2 (t_2-r)} J_0(k_l r) dt}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty B_l e^{-\omega l^2 (t_1-r)} J_0(k_l r) dt} \right) r^2 dr$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_f(0) \leq S_f(\infty)$</td>
<td>$4\pi \rho_c \int_0^a \ln \lim_{t \to a} \left( \int_0^a \frac{a}{a^2} \eta(r)^2 dr \right) \frac{a}{a^2} \eta(r)^2 dr \geq -\infty$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By both initial temperature distribution and internal source</td>
<td>$\frac{dS_{pf}(t)}{dt} \geq 0$</td>
<td>$\int_0^a \left[ C_0(-\omega l^2) e^{-\omega l^2 t} + \int_0^a \frac{D_l(-\omega l^2)}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l e^{-\omega l^2 t}} \eta(r)^2 dr \right] \frac{k_l^2}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty D_l e^{-\omega l^2 t} r^2} dr \geq 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_{pf}(t_1) \leq S_{pf}(t_2)$</td>
<td>$\int_0^a \ln \left( \frac{\sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega l^2 (t_2-r)} J_0(k_l r) \frac{k_l^2}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega l^2 t_2} J_0(k_l r) r^2}}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega l^2 (t_1-r)} J_0(k_l r) \frac{k_l^2}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega l^2 t_1} J_0(k_l r) r^2}} \right) r^2 dr \leq \int_0^a \ln \left( \frac{\sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega l^2 (t_2-r)} J_0(k_l r) \frac{k_l^2}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega l^2 t_2} J_0(k_l r) r^2}}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega l^2 (t_1-r)} J_0(k_l r) \frac{k_l^2}{\sum_{l=0}^\infty A_l e^{-\omega l^2 t_1} J_0(k_l r) r^2}} \right) r^2 dr$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_{pf}(0) \leq S_{pf}(\infty)$</td>
<td>$\int_0^a \ln \left( A_0 + \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^a b_l \eta(r) \right) \frac{a}{a^2} \eta(r)^2 dr \geq 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Integral inequalities developed in adiabatic spheres

With the adiabatic boundary, the entropy generation is bounded by its values corresponding to that at volume average temperature of its initial temperature distribution [Eq. (9)] with the heat conduction driven by the initial temperature distribution, but unbounded [Eqs. (32) and (49)] if the heat conduction involves an internal source with a positive volume average over the sphere. As entropy reflects the part of the system energy that cannot be used to do useful work, it is critical to limit the use of nuclear, electrical, chemical, gammy-ray, or other sources that could produce positive average heat generation in adiabatic systems for the interest of our future.

IV. CONCLUSION

By its very essence, entropy represents the part of system energy that cannot be transformed into useful work and is always generated in all processes. The present work of examining one-dimensional heat-conduction process in an adiabatic sphere shows a finite value of entropy generation as the time tends to infinity when the heat conduction is initiated by the initial temperature distribution, but infinity when the conduction involves positive heat source even in its average sense. Such an analysis is important by noting that our job is to make sure that entropy generation is well-controlled, our future depends also...
on whether the entropy generation is bounded. Therefore, we should constrain ourselves in using nuclear, electrical, chemical, gammy-ray, or other sources that could yield positive average heat generation in adiabatic systems.

By applying the second law of thermodynamics in analyzing heat conduction, we have also obtained mathematical inequalities of new or classical nature. This not only builds up the relation between mathematical inequalities and the second law of thermodynamics, but also offers an innovative way of studying differential equations. The obtained inequalities form a group of benchmarks relations useful for examining accuracy of analytical, numerical and experimental findings as well.
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